



Administration for Children and Families

**Office of Refugee Resettlement**

**Notice of Final FY 2011 Formula Allocations for Targeted Assistance Grants  
to States for Services to Refugees**

**HHS-2011-ACF-ORR-ORRTA-0259**

**Date of Issuance: 09/30/2011**

**Department of Health and Human Services  
Administration for Children and Families**

**Program Office:** Office of Refugee Resettlement

**Funding Opportunity Announcement Number:** HHS-2011-ACF-ORR-ORRTA-0259

**Announcement Title:** Notice of Final FY 2011 Formula Allocations for Targeted Assistance Grants to States for Services to Refugees

**CFDA Number:** 93.584, Refugee and Entrant Assistance – Targeted Assistance Grants

**Date of Issuance:** **09/30/2011**

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the final FY 2011 Targeted Assistance program formula grants to States for services to refugees. These grants are for service provision in localities where large refugee populations result in specific needs for supplementation of currently available resources.

Qualification of counties for eligibility for Targeted Assistance program grants is determined once every three years. FY 2011 is the year for the requalification of counties for the three-year project cycle comprising FY 2011, FY 2012, and FY 2013. Requalification of counties is based on the arrivals of refugees during the two-year period from FY 2009 through FY 2010 (October 1, 2008 – September 30, 2010). The most recent two-year arrival population is being used for the requalification and for the allocation of funds in order to respond effectively to the many issues facing the populations that are arriving in this country. In accordance with ORR’s goal to ensure that refugee resettlement resources more closely follow refugees and that assistance is provided to the highest number of refugees, the use of total county population data in qualifying counties has been discontinued. Use of county concentration would diminish the impact of the TAG program by reducing the total number of refugees to whom services under this program could be provided. This change ensures that the maximum number of refugees receive services. A total of 58 counties and Targeted Assistance Areas (Table 1) qualify for FY 2011 grants. Of these, 12 new counties (Table 2) qualify for Targeted Assistance grants, and 10 counties (Table 3), which previously received grants, no longer qualify for funding. The allocations by county and by Targeted Assistance Areas and the allocations by State can be found in Tables 4 and 5 at the end of this notice.

**Eligible Populations**

Eligible populations for Targeted Assistance services include refugees; Cuban and Haitian Entrants; Cuban Medical Professional Parolees; Havana Parolees; asylees; victims of a severe

form of trafficking who have received certification, eligibility, or interim assistance letters from ORR and certain other specified family members; Lawful Permanent Residents who have held one of these statuses in the past; certain Amerasians from Vietnam who are admitted to the U.S. as immigrants; certain Amerasians from Vietnam who are U.S. citizens; and Iraqi and Afghan Special Immigrants. See 45 CFR 400.43 and ORR State Letter #00-17 (September 14, 2000) on eligibility for ORR programs. Also see ORR State Letter #01-13 ((May 3, 2001) on the Trafficking Victims Protection Act, as modified by ORR State Letter # 02-01 (January 4, 2002) and ORR State Letter # 04-12 (June 18, 2004). Finally, ORR State Letters #08-04 (January 18, 2008), #08-06 (February 7, 2008), and #09-17 (April 9, 2009) provide information on Iraqi and Afghan Special Immigrant eligibility. ORR State Letters may be found at [http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/orr/policy/orr\\_policy.htm](http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/orr/policy/orr_policy.htm). ORR regulations may be found at [http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/orr/policy/orr\\_regulations.htm](http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/orr/policy/orr_regulations.htm).

The term “refugee,” is used in this notice to encompass all persons, as described above, who are eligible to participate in refugee resettlement program services.

## **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### **I. Purpose and Scope**

This notice announces the final allocations of FY 2011 funds for Targeted Assistance grants for services to refugees in counties and Targeted Assistance Areas where, because of high refugee population arrivals, there exists a specific need for supplementation of resources for services to this population. Targeted Assistance Areas include both metropolitan areas and areas formed by contiguous counties with high refugee populations. States and Wilson/Fish projects are eligible recipients of these grants. The word “State” is used in this notice to encompass both types of eligible grant recipients.

The Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) has available \$48,492,820 in FY 2011 funds for the Targeted Assistance Grant (TAG) program. The Director of the Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) is using the TAG funds as follows:

- \$43,643,538 (90 percent of the total) is being allocated to States under the 2-year population formula, as set forth in this final notice.
- \$4,849,282 (10 percent of the total) is being used to award discretionary grants to States.

The purpose of Targeted Assistance formula grants is to provide, through a process of local planning and implementation, direct services intended to result in economic self-sufficiency and reduced welfare dependency of refugees through job placements. Section 412(c)(2)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) provides that Targeted Assistance grants shall be made available "(i) primarily for the purpose of facilitating refugee employment and achievement of self-sufficiency, (ii) in a manner that does not supplant other refugee program funds and that assures that not less than 95 percent of the amount of the grant award is made available to the

county or other local entity."

## **II. Authorization**

The TAG program is funded under the authority of section 412(c)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. § 1522(c)(2). (The INA may be found at <http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/orr/policy/legislative.htm>.)

## **III. Client and Service Priorities**

In accordance with 45 CFR 400.314, States are required to provide TAG services to refugees in the following order of priority, except in certain individual extreme circumstances: (a) refugees who are cash assistance recipients, particularly long-term recipients; (b) unemployed refugees who are not receiving cash assistance; and (c) employed refugees in need of services to retain employment or to attain economic independence. States may not, however, provide services funded under this notice, except for referral and interpreter services, to refugees who have been in the United States for more than 60 months (5 years).

In addition to the statutory requirement that TAG funds be used "primarily for the purpose of facilitating refugee employment" (INA § 412(c)(2)(B)(i)), funds awarded under this program are intended to fulfill the Congressional intent that "employable refugees should be placed on jobs as soon as possible after their arrival in the United States" (INA § 412(a)(1)(B)(i)). Therefore, in accordance with 45 CFR 400.313, TAG funds must be used primarily for employability services designed to enable refugees to obtain jobs with less than one year's participation in order to achieve economic self-sufficiency as soon as possible. TAG services may continue to be provided after a refugee has entered a job to help the refugee retain employment or move to a better job. TAG funds may not be used for long-term training programs such as vocational training that last for more than a year or for educational programs that are not intended to lead to employment within a year.

In accordance with 45 CFR 400.317, if TAG funds are used for the provision of English language training, such training must be provided in a concurrent, rather than sequential, time period with employment or with other employment-related activities. A portion of a local area's allocation may be used for services that are not directed toward the achievement of a specific employment objective in less than one year but that are essential to the adjustment of refugees in the community, provided such needs are clearly demonstrated and such use is approved by the State. (See 45 CFR 400.316).

Reflecting section 412(a)(1)(A)(iv) of the INA, States must "insure that women have the same opportunities as men to participate in training and instruction." Additionally, in accordance with 45 CFR 400.317, services must be provided to the maximum extent feasible in a manner that includes the use of bilingual/bicultural women on service agency staff to ensure adequate service access by refugee women. ORR also strongly encourages the inclusion of refugee women in management and board positions in agencies that serve refugees. In order to facilitate refugee self-support, ORR also expects States to implement strategies that address simultaneously the

employment potential of both male and female wage earners in a family unit. States and counties are expected to make every effort to obtain day care services, preferably subsidized day care, for children in order to allow women with children the opportunity to participate in employment services or to accept or retain employment. Day care may therefore be treated as an employment-related service under this program. Refugees who are participating in TAG-funded or Social Services-funded employment services or who have accepted employment are eligible for day care services for children. States and counties are expected to use day care funding from other publicly administered programs, such as day care services funded under the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) or under the Child Care and Development Block Grant, as a primary resource. States and counties are encouraged to work with service providers to ensure mainstream access for refugees to other publicly funded resources for day care. For an employed refugee, TAG-funded day care should be limited to situations in which no other publicly funded day care is available. In these cases, day care services funded by a Targeted Assistance grant should be limited to one year after the refugee becomes employed.

In accordance with 45 CFR 400.317, TAG services must be provided in a manner that is culturally and linguistically compatible with a refugee's language and cultural background, to the maximum extent feasible. In light of the increasingly diverse population of refugees who are resettling in this country, refugee service agencies must develop practical ways of providing culturally and linguistically appropriate services to a changing ethnic population. Services funded under this notice must be refugee specific, designed to meet refugee needs, and be in keeping with the rules and objectives of the refugee program. Short-term vocational or job-skills training, on-the-job training (OJT), or English language training (ELT), however, need not be refugee specific.

ORR strongly encourages States and counties, when contracting for TAG services, including employment services, to give consideration to the special strengths of mutual assistance associations (MAAs), whenever contract bidders are otherwise equally qualified, provided that the MAA has the capability to deliver services in a manner that is culturally and linguistically compatible with the background of the target population to be served. ORR also strongly encourages MAAs to ensure that their management and board composition reflect the major target populations to be served.

Finally, in order to provide culturally and linguistically compatible services in as cost-efficient a manner as possible in a time of limited resources, ORR strongly encourages States and counties to promote, and give special consideration to, the provision of services through coalitions of refugee service organizations, such as coalitions of MAAs, voluntary resettlement agencies, or a variety of service providers. ORR believes that it is essential for refugee-serving organizations to form close partnerships in the provision of services to refugees in order to be able to respond adequately to a changing refugee environment. States and counties are encouraged to consider entities that are public or private non-profit, including faith-based, refugee-based, or community-based, in allocating TAG funds. Additionally, coalition building and consolidation of providers is particularly important in communities with multiple service providers in order to ensure better coordination of services and maximum effectiveness in the use of funding for services by minimizing the funds used for multiple administrative overhead costs.

#### **IV. Eligible Grantees**

Eligible grantees are: (1) agencies of State governments that are responsible for the refugee resettlement program under 45 CFR 400.5 in States containing counties that qualify for FY 2011 Targeted Assistance awards; and (2) agencies funded under the Wilson-Fish program (See INA § 412(c)(7)) that administer a refugee resettlement program containing a county or counties that qualify for FY 2011 TAG awards. The use of TAG funds for services to Cuban and Haitian entrants is limited to States that have an approved State plan under the Cuban/Haitian Entrant Program.

#### **V. Qualifying Counties and Allocation Formula**

For FY 2011, ORR based the allocations in this notice on the arrival figures for the most recent 2-year period (FY 2009 and FY 2010 arrival figures). Basing the allocation on a 2-year period is intended to respond to the needs of the arriving populations who are entering this country after longer periods of time in refugee camps, who have experienced significant traumatic events, who are increasingly likely to enter this country with very few English skills, and who are often illiterate. Meeting the needs of these populations soon after their arrival in this country is essential to ensuring their long-term economic self-sufficiency and integration.

##### **A. Qualifying Counties**

In order to qualify for FY 2011 TAG funds, a county, group of adjacent counties, or an independent city is required to rank above a selected cut-off point of jurisdictions for which data were reviewed, based on the number of refugee arrivals placed in the county during the most recent 2-year period (FY 2009-FY 2010). By using high numbers of arrivals only and discontinuing the use of the secondary factor of concentration (i.e., refugee arrivals as a percentage of county population), ORR intends to target funds to counties where the greatest number of refugee arrivals can receive sufficient services to ensure economic self-sufficiency and full integration into American communities.

ORR analyzed data on all counties that have received TAG awards since FY 1983 and on all other counties that could potentially qualify for TAG funds based on refugee arrivals to the county in the most recent 2-year period, for a total of 737 potentially qualifying counties. ORR decided to limit the number of qualifying counties based on ranking order to the top 58 counties (Table 1) in order to ensure that a sufficient level of funding reaches the counties with the highest numbers of arriving refugees. This analysis resulted in 12 new counties that qualify for FY 2011 TAG funds (Table 2). Ten counties that previously received TAG funds no longer qualify (Table 3). The 58 counties listed in this final notice as qualified for FY 2011 TAG funding will remain qualified for TAG funding through FY 2013.

ORR does not plan to consider the eligibility of additional counties for TAG funding until FY 2013, when ORR will again review data on all counties that could potentially qualify for TAG funds. ORR believes that a more frequent re-determination of county qualification would not

provide qualifying counties a sufficient period of time within a stable funding climate to address adequately the impact of refugee arrivals in their counties. A less frequent re-determination of county qualification would pose the risk of not considering newly arriving population impacts in a timely manner.

## **B. Allocation Formula**

Of the funds available for FY 2011 for Targeted Assistance, \$43,643,538 has been allocated by formula to States for qualified counties based on refugee arrivals in these counties during the 2-year period from FY 2009 - FY 2010 (October 1, 2008 - September 30, 2010) of refugees, Amerasians, Entrants, Havana Parolees, Cuban Medical Professionals, asylees, and Iraqi and Afghan Special Immigrants. These data are available in the ORR Refugee Arrivals Data System (RADS). Although victims of a severe form of trafficking are eligible for TAG services, ORR's database does not currently have county-level information on them, so they are not included in the allocations formula.

In State Letter 11-03 (January 24, 2011), ORR gave States the opportunity to provide voluntary data submissions for FY 2011 on the number of asylees and entrants to be used for the TAG allocations. The data submission website was open for State submissions from February 28, 2011, to March 14, 2011. State data submitted via the website were verified by ORR against the ORR Refugee Arrivals Data System (RADS); and States were credited for verified arrivals in the requalification of counties and in the allocation of funds. (Data from the ORR-11 Secondary Migration reporting form, which were also uploaded to the data submission website, were not considered in the TAG allocation formula, since these data are at the State-level only and not at the county-level.)

## **VI. Allocations**

The tables in this announcement list the qualifying counties and the county and State allocations. Specifically, Table 1 lists the 58 qualifying counties, the State, the number of refugee arrivals in those counties during the 2-year period from October 1, 2008 - September 30, 2010, and each county's rank, based on eligible population. Table 2 lists the 12 new eligible counties that qualify for this project period; and Table 3 lists the 10 counties that no longer qualify for TAG funds.

The allocations are listed in Tables 4 and 5. Table 4 lists the final allocations by county for FY 2011. Table 5 lists the final allocations by State.

## **VII. Implementation Process**

Funding subsequent to the publication of this final notice is based on the submission to ORR by October 30, 2011, of a State Annual Services Plan that is developed on the basis of a local consultative process, as required by 45 CFR 400.11(b)(2) in the ORR regulations.

All TAG grantees must report TAG performance measures for each of the five ORR performance

outcome measures for each qualified county's proposed service contract(s) or sub-grants for the next contracting cycle. The five ORR performance measures are: (1) entered employments, (2) average wage at placement, (3) job placements with available health benefits, (4) 90-day employment retentions, and (5) cash assistance terminations due to employment. Final TAG outcome goals are due on November 15, 2011, in conjunction with the ORR Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) cycle.

Pursuant to 45 CFR 400.210(b), FY 2011 TAG funds must be obligated by the State no later than one year after the end of the federal fiscal year in which the grant is awarded. Funds must be liquidated within 2 years after the end of the federal fiscal year in which the grant is awarded. A State's final Federal Financial Report (SF-425) on TAG expenditures must be received no later than 90 days after the end of the 2-year expenditure period. If final reports are not received on time, any unexpended funds, including any unliquidated obligations, will be de-obligated on the basis of the State's last filed report. State administrative costs are limited to a maximum of 5 percent of the total award to the State.

A State with more than one qualified county may either allocate funds to counties in accordance with the allocations in this notice or may determine the allocation amount for each qualified county in a different manner. States that chose to allocate the FY 2011 TAG funds in a manner different from the allocations in this notice must, in accordance with 45 CFR 400.319, provide assurance to ORR that the allocations will be based on one of two alternative allocations. A State may elect to allocate funds on the basis of the State's population of refugees who arrived in the United States during the most recent 5-year period or a State may also use welfare data as an additional factor in the allocation of its TAG funds as long as the State does not assign a greater weight to welfare data than it has assigned to population data in its allocation formula.

## **IX. Reporting Requirements**

Grantees are required to submit (1) Performance Reports on a trimester basis on the outcomes of the TAG program and (2) Standard Form (SF) 425 Federal Financial Report on expenditures and obligations on a quarterly basis. Performance Reports are submitted using Schedule A and Schedule C of the ORR-6 Performance Report which may be found at [http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/orr/policy/report\\_forms\\_instructions.htm](http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/orr/policy/report_forms_instructions.htm). Performance Reports are submitted to the Office of Refugee Resettlement. The SF-425 Federal Financial Report may be found at [http://www.acf.hhs.gov/grants/grants\\_resources.html](http://www.acf.hhs.gov/grants/grants_resources.html). The Federal Financial Report should be submitted both to ACF's Office of Grants Management and to the State Analyst in ORR. States also have the option of submitting the Federal Financial Report through ACF's on-line data collection (OLDC) system. Contact your State Analyst or Henley Portner (at (202) 401-5363 or [Henley.Portner@acf.hhs.gov](mailto:Henley.Portner@acf.hhs.gov)) for more information on submitting Federal Financial Reports through the OLDC web-based system.

## **X. Paperwork Reduction Act**

This notice includes the ORR-6 Performance Report. The ORR-6 is currently under review at OMB, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. §§ 3501-3520. An agency may

not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT:** Henley Portner, Division of Budget, Policy and Data Analysis (DBPDA), Office of Refugee Resettlement, Administration for Children and Families, (202) 401-5363, [Henley.Portner@acf.hhs.gov](mailto:Henley.Portner@acf.hhs.gov).

**TABLE 1**  
**Eligible Counties and Targeted Assistance Areas**  
**FY 2011 – FY 2013**

<i>Rank</i>	<i>County/Targeted Assistance Area</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Two-Year Arrival Total</i>
1	Miami-Dade	FL	38,849
2	San Diego	CA	8,734
3	Los Angeles	CA	8,300
4	Dallas and Tarant	TX	6,340
5	Maricopa	AZ	6,301
6	Harris	TX	5,822
7	DeKalb	GA	4,843
8	Cook, Kane and DuPage	IL	4,254
9	King and Snohomish	WA	4,231
10	Oakland, Macomb, and Wayne	MI	4,080
11	Hillsborough	FL	3,243
12	Denver	CO	3,185
13	Erie	NY	2,811
14	Jefferson	KY	2,701
15	Ramsey and Hennepin	MN	2,651
16	New York City	NY	2,602
17	Davis, Salt Lake and Utah	UT	2,408
18	Onondaga	NY	2,291
19	Davidson	TN	2,239
20	Multnomah and Clackamas	OR	2,224
21	Duval	FL	2,114
22	Marion	IN	1,992
23	Pima	AZ	1,973
24	Palm Beach	FL	1,892
25	Bexar	TX	1,831
26	Broward	FL	1,792
27	Travis	TX	1,757
28	Franklin	OH	1,697
29	Ada	ID	1,612
30	Guilford	NC	1,566
31	Sacramento	CA	1,481
32	Santa Clara	CA	1,473
33	Clark	NV	1,451
34	Monroe	NY	1,425
35	Orange	FL	1,396
36	Fulton	GA	1,365
37	Mecklenburg	NC	1,352
38	St Louis City	MO	1,296
39	Eaton and Ingham	MI	1,294
40	Montgomery and Prince George's	MD	1,289
41	Philadelphia	PA	1,270
42	Potter	TX	1,264
43	Polk	IA	1,245
44	Douglas	NE	1,223
45	Milwaukee	WI	1,200
46	Suffolk	MA	1,157
47	Baltimore	MD	1,152
48	Hampden	MA	1,128
49	Erie	PA	1,126
50	Kent	MI	1,098
51	Warren	KY	1,061
52	Minnehaha	SD	1,019
53	Fairfax and Arlington	VA	993
54	Worcester	MA	993
55	Spokane	WA	985
56	Orange	CA	978
57	Alameda	CA	959
58	Lancaster	PA	909

**TABLE 2****New Qualifying Counties  
for Targeted Assistance Grants**

<i>Rank</i>	<i>County/Targeted Assistance Area</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Two-Year Arrival Total</i>
10	Oakland, Macomb, and Wayne	MI	4,080
22	Marion	IN	1,992
25	Bexar	TX	1,831
27	Travis	TX	1,757
36	Fulton	GA	1,365
39	Eaton and Ingham	MI	1,294
44	Douglas	NE	1,223
49	Erie	PA	1,126
51	Warren	KY	1,061
54	Worcester	MA	993
56	Orange	CA	978
57	Alameda	CA	959

**TABLE 3****Counties No Longer Qualifying  
for Targeted Assistance Grants**

<i>Rank</i>	<i>County/Targeted Assistance Area</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Two-Year Arrival Total</i>
63	Oneida	NY	884
67	Collier	FL	791
69	Cass	ND	734
85	City of Richmond	VA	490
86	Merrimack	NH	480
87	Allen	IN	452
100	City of Charlottesville	VA	371
133	Olmsted	MN	164
143	Anoka	MN	125
150	Fresno	CA	105

**TABLE 4**  
**FY 2011 FINAL ALLOCATION OF \$43,643,538 TO COUNTIES**

<i>State</i>	<i>County/Targeted Assistance Area</i>	<i>Refugees and Amerasians</i>	<i>Entrants</i>	<i>Havana Parolees</i>	<i>Asylees</i>	<i>SIVs</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Allocation</i>
<b>Arizona</b>	Maricopa County	5,807	210	0	127	157	6,301	\$1,657,443
	Pima County	1,896	4	0	13	60	1,973	\$518,987
<b>California</b>	Alameda County	452	2	4	342	159	959	\$252,260
	Los Angeles County	6,361	6	25	1,810	98	8,300	\$2,183,269
	Orange County	791	0	9	124	54	978	\$257,257
	Sacramento County	1,322	0	0	68	91	1,481	389,569
	San Diego County	7,844	18	5	593	274	8,734	\$2,297,430
	Santa Clara County	1,036	0	1	390	46	1,473	\$387,464
<b>Colorado/1</b>	Denver	3,039	2	0	64	80	3,185	\$837,796
<b>Florida</b>	Broward County	290	360	610	531	1	1,792	\$471,376
	Duval County	1,797	164	29	68	56	2,114	\$556,076
	Hillsborough County	1,191	1,694	226	95	37	3,243	\$853,053
	Miami-Dade County	3,791	13,756	16,380	920	2	34,849	\$9,166,834
		Orange County	391	469	66	449	21	1,396
	Palm Beach County	259	799	404	424	6	1,892	\$497,680
<b>Georgia</b>	DeKalb County	4,655	83	6	40	59	4,843	\$1,273,924
	Fulton County	1,321	20	0	0	24	1,365	\$359,056
<b>Idaho/1</b>	Ada County	1,576	0	0	5	31	1,612	\$424,028
<b>Illinois</b>	Cook/Kane/DuPage Counties	3,953	16	12	139	134	4,254	\$1,118,991
<b>Indiana</b>	Marion County	1,965	1	0	0	26	1,992	\$523,984
<b>Iowa</b>	Polk County	1,217	0	0	5	23	1,245	\$327,490
<b>Kentucky/1</b>	Jefferson County	2,033	568	41	24	35	2,701	\$710,483
	Warren County	1,056	0	0	0	5	1,061	\$279,090
<b>Maryland</b>	Baltimore	961	1	3	158	29	1,152	\$303,027
	Montgomery/Prince George's Counties	740	0	3	482	64	1,289	\$339,064
<b>Massachusetts/1</b>	Hampden County	1,119	1	0	6	2	1,128	\$296,714
	Suffolk County	809	23	4	309	12	1,157	\$304,342
	Worcester County	930	1	7	42	13	993	\$261,203
<b>Michigan</b>	Eaton and Ingham Counties	1,239	13	12	0	30	1,294	\$340,379
	Kent County	1,075	4	11	0	8	1,098	\$288,823
	Macomb, Oakland and Wayne Counties	3,892	0	0	0	188	4,080	\$1,073,221
<b>Minnesota</b>	Ramsey/Hennepin Counties	2,531	0	0	109	11	2,651	\$697,331
<b>Missouri</b>	St. Louis	1,249	0	0	7	40	1,296	\$340,906
<b>Nebraska</b>	Douglas County	1,211	0	0	0	12	1,223	\$321,703
<b>Nevada/1</b>	Clark County	1,143	185	88	16	19	1,451	\$381,677
<b>New York</b>	Erie County	2,743	3	5	6	54	2,811	\$739,418
	Monroe County	1,339	53	17	10	6	1,425	\$374,838
	New York City/2	896	9	22	1,625	50	2,602	\$684,442
	Onondaga County	2,182	74	10	0	25	2,291	\$602,635
<b>North Carolina</b>	Guilford County	1,522	1	0	9	34	1,566	\$411,928
	Mecklenberg County	1,264	28	9	39	12	1,352	\$355,636
<b>Ohio</b>	Franklin County	1,460	8	3	185	41	1,697	\$446,386
<b>Oregon</b>	Multnomah/Clackamas Counties/3	1,971	148	10	55	40	2,224	\$585,011
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	City of Philadelphia	1,170	4	8	28	60	1,270	\$334,066
	Erie County	1,122	0	0	0	4	1,126	\$296,188
	Lancaster County	814	83	8	3	1	909	\$239,107
<b>South Dakota/1</b>	Minnehaha County	1,000	5	0	5	9	1,019	\$268,042
<b>Tennessee/1</b>	Davidson County	1,978	17	5	63	176	2,239	\$588,956
<b>Texas</b>	Bexar County	1,667	20	3	20	121	1,831	\$481,634
	Dallas/Tarrant Counties	5,902	34	15	186	203	6,340	\$1,667,702
	Harris County	4,846	376	34	218	348	5,822	\$1,531,445
	Potter County	1,238	2	0	10	14	1,264	\$332,488
	Travis County	1,411	156	22	38	130	1,757	\$462,169
<b>Utah</b>	Davis/Salt Lake/Utah Counties	2,346	1	0	17	44	2,408	\$633,411
<b>Virginia</b>	Fairfax and Arlington Counties/4	681	2	7	43	260	993	\$261,203
<b>Washington</b>	King and Snohomish Counties	3,675	2	1	458	95	4,231	\$1,112,941
	Spokane County	968	3	1	5	8	985	\$259,099
<b>Wisconsin</b>	Milwaukee County	1,177	4	0	6	13	1,200	\$315,653
<b>Total</b>		114,314	19,433	18,126	10,389	3,655	165,917	\$43,643,538

## Footnotes to Table 4

- 1/ Allocations to be awarded to Wilson/Fish grantees
- 2/ Includes Bronx, Kings, Queens, New York, and Richmond Counties
- 3/ Includes Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington Counties in Oregon and Clark County in Washington
- 4/ Includes Arlington and Fairfax Counties and the cities of Alexandria, Fairfax, and Falls Church

**TABLE 5****TARGETED ASSISTANCE PROGRAM****FY 2011 ALLOCATIONS TO STATES**

<i>State</i>	<i>Allocation Amount</i>
<i>Arizona</i>	\$2,176,430
<i>California</i>	\$5,767,249
<i>Colorado</i>	\$837,796
<i>Florida</i>	\$11,912,229
<i>Georgia</i>	\$1,632,980
<i>Idaho</i>	\$424,028
<i>Illinois</i>	\$1,118,991
<i>Indiana</i>	\$523,984
<i>Iowa</i>	\$327,490
<i>Kentucky</i>	\$989,573
<i>Maryland</i>	\$642,091
<i>Massachusetts</i>	\$862,259
<i>Michigan</i>	\$1,702,423
<i>Minnesota</i>	\$697,331
<i>Missouri</i>	\$340,906
<i>Nebraska</i>	\$321,703
<i>Nevada</i>	\$381,677
<i>New York</i>	\$2,401,333
<i>North Carolina</i>	\$767,564
<i>Ohio</i>	\$446,386
<i>Oregon</i>	\$585,011
<i>Pennsylvania</i>	\$869,361
<i>South Dakota</i>	\$268,042
<i>Tennessee</i>	\$588,956
<i>Texas</i>	\$4,475,438
<i>Utah</i>	\$633,411
<i>Virginia</i>	\$261,203
<i>Washington</i>	\$1,372,040
<i>Wisconsin</i>	\$315,653
<i>Total</i>	\$43,643,538